

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,233 11/12/2003		Tomohiro Wakabayashi	Q78419	3543	
23373	7590	08/10/2004		EXAM	IINER
SUGHRUE	MION,	PLLC		LE, THAN	IH TAM T
2100 PENNS	SYLVAÑ	LA AVENUE, N.W.			
SUITE 800		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON DC 20037				2920	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,233	WAKABAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_L	l				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2003.					
,	s action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04.	<del></del> 1	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,233

Art Unit: 2839

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Page 2, line 3, "nd" should be changed -- end --.
  - Page 4, line 1, "compris d" should be changed -- comprised --.
  - Page 6, line 1, "f rrule" should be changed -- ferrule --.
  - Page 9, line 2, "r sin" should be changed -- resin --.
  - Page 10, line 1, "structur" should be changed -- structure --.
  - Page 11, line 1, "I nses and f rrules" should be changed -- lenses and ferules--.
  - Page 11, line 2, "th" should be changed the --.
- Page 12, line 1, "xcessively invading th inner portion" should be changed excessively invading the inner portion --.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 1, "f rrule" should be changed – ferrule –

Claim 5, line 1, "Th" should be changed -- The --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6, 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borsuk et al. (4,666,238).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Borsuk et al., figures 1 and 5, disclose a coupler (86) formed with a hollow portion, a ferrule (10) attached to a terminal of an optical fiber, the ferrule comprising:

- a main body (14) and
- a leading end portion (12, optical lens) integrated with the main body to serve
   as a convex lens such that light emitted from a core wire (36) of the optical-

fiber (34) is made to be parallel light, while incident light is focused onto the core wire.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Borsuk et al., figures 1 and 5, disclose a coupler (86) formed with a hollow portion, a ferrule (10) attached to a terminal of an optical fiber, the ferrule comprising:

- a main body (14) and
- a convex lens (12, optical lens) integrated with a leading end (12) of the main body such that light emitted from a core wire (36) of the optical fiber (34) is made to be parallel light, while incident light is focused onto the core wire.

Regarding claim 3, at least leading end portion comprises of optically transparent resin.

Regarding claim 4, the main body is formed with a hole (18) into which the core wire is inserted such that a clearance is formed between a deepest portion of the hole and a leading end of the core wire; and the clearance is filled with filler such that the clearance serves as a light guide path.

Regarding claim 5, the filler comprises of adhesive (54) for fixing the optical fiber in the hole.

Regarding claim 7, the filler is comprising of an optically transparent gel.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,233 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borsuk et al. (4,666,238).

Borsuk et al., column 6, lines 57-60, disclose the index matching adhesive which has a refraction index similar to both the glass and the plastic material and the claimed invention as described above except for the refractive index of the adhesive/gel is selected to be greater than a refractive index of a material forming the leading end portion, having a refractive index difference corresponding to a numerical aperture of the core wire. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Borsuk et al. to have the refractive index of the adhesive/gel is selected to be greater than a refractive index of a material forming the leading end portion, having a refractive index difference corresponding to a numerical aperture of the core wire, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,233

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

Page 6

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2094. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL 8/5/04.

T. Le